EXHIBIT A

ADRIAN SCHOOLCE	RAFT,	
	-against- (RWS)	PLAINTIFF, Case No: 10 Civ. 600
Tax Id. 873220 Capacity, ASSIS	W YORK, DEPUTY CHIE , Individually and STANT CHIEF PATROL:	in his Official BOROUGH BROOKLY
And in his Off:	ELSON, Tax Id. 9123 icial Capacity, DEP LO, Tax Id. 895117,	UTY INSPECTOR
In his Official	l Capacity, CAPTAIN x Id. 897840, Indiv	THEODORE
Official Capac	ity, LIEUTENANT WIL dually and in his O	LIAM GOUGH, Tax
SGT. FREDERICK	SAWYER, Shield No. icial Capacity, SER	2576, Individu
Shield No. 2483	3, Individually and TENANT CHRISTOPHER	in his Officia
915354, Individ	dually and in his OOTHY CAUGHEY, Tax I	fficial Capacit
Individually an	nd in his Official Shield No. 3004 and	Capacity, SERGE
#1-50, Individu	ually and in their Doe being fictition	Official Capaci
names are prese	ently unknown) (col fendants"), JAMAICA	lectively refer
CENTER, DR. ISA	AK ISAKOV, Individu ity, DR. LILIAN ALD	ally and in his
	nd in her Official (AL MEDICAL CENTER E	
	ndividually and in name John Doe being	
	are presently unkn	
		DEFENDANTS.
	DATE: Octob	er 11, 2012
		, -

1	
2	DATE: October 11, 2012
3	TIME: 10:20 A.M.
4	
5	
6	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of the
7	Plaintiff, ADRIAN SCHOOLCRAFT, taken by the
8	Respective Parties, pursuant to a Notice and
9	to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, held at
10	the offices of the New York City Law Department,
11	100 Church Street, New York, New York 10007, before
12	Nathan MacCormack, a Notary Public of the State of
13	New York.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 reports, I never -- it was just work. I didn't keep track
- 2 of the numbers.
- 3 O. So you don't know?
- 4 A. Not in my memory.
- 5 O. So no?
- 6 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 7 A. "No" to what?
- 8 Q. You don't know how many summonses you have
- 9 issued?
- 10 A. To the best of my memory, I don't. I believe
- it's on record, though. I believe the data is there,
- 12 somewhere.
- 13 Q. Have you ever issued a summons without probable
- 14 cause?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Has anyone ever told you to issue a summons, even
- if you did not have probable cause?
- 18 A. There were -- when Deputy Inspector Mauriello
- 19 took over the 81st Precinct, shortly after that, there
- 20 became a term that officers used, they were "Mauriello
- 21 specials." These referred to collars that he would grab,
- and then he would grab an officer to assign as the
- 23 arresting officer.
- I believe I was -- I was involved in those a
- 25 couple times. They had -- if you didn't meet the arrest

- 1 quotas, they put you on a -- to the best of my memory, it
- 2 was called "losing prop." And all the officers that didn't
- 3 have a certain number of arrests were -- they would have a
- 4 tour change for that day.
- 5 And he would send special units out to grab johns
- 6 off the street or other arrests, and Mauriello himself was
- 7 involved in the collars. He would pull an officer and
- 8 assign that officer that arrest that he supposedly
- 9 observed, as probable cause.
- 10 Q. Okay. But I asked you, specifically, if you had
- 11 personally ever issued a summons to someone without
- 12 probable cause?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 14 A. If I issued a summons without witnessing it, I
- 15 believe -- to the best of my memory, I would have
- 16 documented it on the summons or the arrest paperwork as to
- 17 who the officer was. And I would designate -- designate
- 18 myself as the assigned officer on the arrest paperwork.
- 19 Q. Were you trained to issue summonses if you did
- 20 not have probable cause?
- 21 A. If the instruction received -- the unofficial --
- 22 I would consider unofficial training, the roll calls we
- were instructed to lock people up and articulate a charge
- 24 later. I would consider those some form of training, as
- 25 instruction from a supervisor, yes.

- 1 O. Now, you said "lock people up." That would be an
- 2 arrest, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. I am asking specifically about summonses, so I
- 5 ask that you please confine your answers just with
- 6 summonses. Have you been trained to issue summonses
- 7 without probable cause?
- 8 A. It's difficult for me to discern the difference
- 9 between the two. Summonses are issued in lieu of an
- 10 arrest. Summonses specifically, I believe -- I don't
- 11 recall any exact incident.
- But again, I know there are recordings that
- probably state that; summonses, arrests, 250's, what they
- 14 deemed activity.
- Q. And these recordings, you recall them stating
- 16 that a summons should be issued, even in the absence of
- 17 probable cause?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 19 A. That's how I took some of the instructions.
- 20 Q. Have you ever personally observed another officer
- issue a summons, without probable cause?
- 22 A. It's hard to go back to and give an exact time
- 23 and date. But yes, I have seen it.
- Q. How many times?
- 25 A. It's hard to approximate how many times. There's

- one incident that I observed from beginning to end that
- 2 involved Deputy Inspector Mauriello, himself. I observed
- 3 two young men waiting outside of a bodega for their
- 4 sisters, and Inspector Mauriello pulled up in his car.
- 5 Two police officers and a lieutenant came out,
- 6 and Deputy Inspector Mauriello ordered then to be cuffed
- 7 and searched. And they arrested them, took them to the
- 8 precinct and issued them a summons.
- 9 Q. Where were you during this incident?
- 10 A. I was standing right there.
- 11 Q. You weren't involved in the arrests?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Where were you standing exactly?
- 14 A. Ten feet away.
- 15 O. And you were watching the men outside, before
- 16 Defendant Mauriello arrived?
- 17 A. Yeah. I saw them from when they came down the
- 18 street. The girls went inside the bodega and they waited
- 19 outside for them.
- 20 Q. Do you know if Defendant Mauriello had received
- 21 any phone calls from the bodega before arriving at the
- 22 scene?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- A. I am not aware of that, no.
- 25 Q. Do you know what the summonses were issued for?

- 1 A. I don't know if I did, specifically. But I was
- 2 aware other officers that wanted overtime would have to
- 3 adhere to the policy in order to explain how they could
- 4 have that overtime.
- 5 Q. But you personally, do you, sitting here today,
- 6 recall ever losing overtime for failing to issue a certain
- 7 number of summonses?
- 8 A. As I sit here today, I don't recall losing
- 9 overtime.
- 10 Q. What officers did you observe lose overtime for
- 11 failing to issue a certain number of summonses?
- 12 A. I don't recall any specify officer. I just
- 13 recall that that was the general -- if an officer wanted
- 14 overtime, they would have to explain it. And when there
- 15 was overtime, I recall being addressed by supervisors. It
- 16 was understood.
- 17 The number was "two and two"; two summonses and
- 18 two 250's. If the officer made a collar, they wanted the
- 19 -- the supervisor wanted that collar, that arrest to be
- 20 250'd. And I think they -- you still weren't required to
- 21 do the summonses. But it was "two and two," that was the
- 22 phrase.
- Q. When you say "two and two," what do you mean?
- A. Two summonses, two 250's, two stop, question and
- 25 frisks.

- 1 O. Per month?
- 2 A. Per that overtime, per when you are -- that
- 3 mandated overtime, or if you requested it.
- 4 Q. So if I understand you, an officer who was given
- 5 overtime, was required to issue two summonses and make two
- 6 arrests during that overtime shift?
- 7 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 8 A. As a minimum, yes.
- 9 Q. At a minimum.
- 10 MR. NORINSBERG: I think you misjudged. He
- 11 said two -
- MS. PUBLICKER: He just said yes.
- 13 MR. NORINSBERG: No, but he said two
- summonses and two 250's.
- MR. COHEN: He said it two times.
- 16 MR. NORINSBERG: He said it two times, then
- 17 you rephrased it the wrong way.
- MS. PUBLICKER: And then he said "yes." I
- 19 am sorry if I misphrased it, but --
- 20 MR. NORINSBERG: Do you want to clarify,
- 21 Adrian?
- 22 THE WITNESS: What was the question?
- Q. When you say "two and two," you are saying -- if
- 24 I misstated you, then -- two summonses and two 250's, or
- 25 two summonses and two arrests per overtime shift?

- 1 A. Two summonses and two 250's, two and two.
- Q. Okay. What happened if they did not make that
- 3 two and two during their overtime shift?
- 4 A. I don't believe they would -- they would not be
- 5 able to ask for overtime anymore.
- 6 Q. Can you name a single person who was subject to
- 7 that policy?
- 8 A. Not specifically. But I believe you can -- the
- 9 overtime is documented very well. You could see a pattern
- of certain officers that have become dependent on overtime.
- 11 Q. But have you ever seen an officer be refused
- 12 overtime because they did not hit the quota policy for
- 13 summons, you referred to earlier?
- 14 A. I don't specifically -- I don't specifically
- 15 recall any officer or exact time. But that was general
- 16 knowledge.
- 17 O. Did you ever suffer a tour change as a result of
- 18 failing to issue a certain number of summonses per month?
- 19 A. No. I don't -- I was on the same tour for --
- 20 maybe three years straight.
- 21 Q. Do you observe another officer suffer that
- 22 penalty?
- 23 A. I don't recall any specific officer. But I
- 24 recall officers getting in trouble. In order to get back
- 25 to their desired tour, they would have to produce summonses

- 1 and arrests, more.
- Q. When you say was "officers in trouble," what do
- 3 you mean?
- A. A command discipline; in trouble, any numerous
- 5 reasons, violations or misconduct of the patrol guide.
- 6 Q. Are these unrelated to the summons quota policy
- 7 you have referred to?
- 8 A. What do you mean, "unrelated"?
- 9 Q. So as I understand what you are stating, is that
- 10 officers would get in trouble, in some way, receive a
- 11 command discipline for a violation of department rules and
- 12 they would have their tour changed.
- And then in order for them to make it back to
- 14 their preferred time, the original tour, they would have to
- issue a certain number of summons; is that correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 O. To -- so it's not that the officers had a tour
- 18 change because they failed to meet the quota policy that
- 19 you referred to, but that they had to make more summonses
- in order to go back to the original tour?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 22 A. There were instances like that. But I believe
- 23 there were officers that had tour changes, vacation days
- denied, overtime denied, based on the illegal quota policy.
- 25 Q. Can you name one officer who that happened to?

- 1 A. I don't -- I can't recall any specific officer,
- 2 but -- or one specific time. But it was general knowledge,
- 3 you don't get overtime if you don't pay the rent; you don't
- 4 get your days off granted if you don't pay the rent. If
- 5 you get in trouble, you have got to pay more rent.
- 6 Q. Would anything refresh your recollection as to an
- 7 officer who had his tour changed because of the quota
- 8 policy?
- 9 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 10 A. There might be recordings or documents that I
- 11 haven't seen that could refresh -- it's possible.
- 12 Q. Are there any that you have seen in the past, but
- don't have in front of you, that would refresh your
- 14 recollection?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 16 A. To the best of my memory, I haven't. But it's
- 17 possible.
- 18 Q. Were you ever denied vacation days as a result of
- 19 failing to issue a certain number of summonses?
- 20 A. Whether -- there were vacation picks. I never
- 21 had a vacation pick denied, and I -- it was such general
- 22 knowledge that, if you are not paying the rent, you are not
- 23 going to be granted a day off when you request it.
- 24 So I don't recall me, myself specifically, being
- 25 denied a day off. But it was general knowledge. That was

- 1 one of the --
- 2 Q. Can you name a single officer who was denied a
- 3 day off because of failing to meet the quota policy?
- 4 A. I don't specifically recall a name or a specific
- 5 time when an officer told me or I overheard.
- 6 Q. During the point in time when you were receiving
- 7 acceptable performance evaluations, were you issuing the
- 8 number of summonses necessary to meet the de facto summons
- 9 policy?
- 10 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 11 A. Again, I wasn't keeping track of -- I was going
- 12 out there and answering calls, whatever my duty was for
- 13 that tour. I never kept track of the number of summonses
- 14 and arrests I was doing.
- 15 If I had an arrest, I processed the arrest. And
- 16 if I was assigned to court, I went to court. And whatever
- 17 detail I was part of, I just -- I didn't keep track of
- 18 that.
- 19 Q. We need to take a break now to change the tape
- and the recording.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:48 a.m.,
- this is the end of tape one. We are going off
- 23 the record.
- 24 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was
- held, and a break was had.)

- 1 A. Officers are addressed at roll call. Again,
- 2 those roll calls are recorded, those statements are
- 3 recorded; some of them are.
- 4 Q. Have you ever personally observed another officer
- 5 make an arrest without probable cause?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. Who?
- 8 A. Specifically one incident, I think I said this
- 9 before, it was regarding the defendant, Tyrel, I don't
- 10 remember his last name. But it was the one that involved
- 11 Inspector Mauriello ordering the officers to cuff -- I
- 12 believe the term he used was "cuff them and search them."
- 0. Besides that incident, have you ever observed
- 14 another officer make an arrest without probable cause?
- 15 A. Off the top of my head, I don't specifically
- 16 recall any one incident, other than that one. To the best
- of my memory right now, I don't remember another incident.
- 18 Q. As of October 31, 2009, did you have any
- 19 knowledge of how many arrests officers in other precincts
- were required to make?
- 21 A. I don't recall having any knowledge.
- O. Did you ever lose overtime to for failing to
- issue a certain number of arrests?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection to the form:
- 25 A. Did I ever lose -- sorry, what was the question?

- 1 O. Did you ever lose overtime for failing to make a
- 2 certain number of arrests?
- 3 A. Probably.
- 4 Q. How many times?
- 5 A. I don't recall -- I don't -- I don't know any --
- 6 I don't have a specific number.
- 7 Q. When did this happen?
- 8 A. If it happened, I am not -- I don't -- I don't
- 9 recall any specific date or time.
- 10 Q. Do you have any proof of this, besides your
- 11 statements here today?
- 12 A. Regarding the overtime?
- 13 O. Yes.
- 14 A. I don't believe so.
- 15 O. How do you know that you lost overtime for
- 16 failing to issue a certain number of arrests?
- 17 A. I don't believe I do know.
- 18 Q. Have you ever observed another officer suffer
- 19 that penalty?
- 20 A. I don't remember any specific officer or time.
- 21 But I remember general conversations, officers that did
- 22 want overtime, if they didn't -- they didn't have enough
- 23 activity, they would be denied overtime.
- 24 Q. With whom did you have these conversations?
- 25 A. Again, I don't recall any specific officer or any

- 1 specific date.
- 2 Q. Did you record these conversations?
- 3 A. If it was, my attorneys would have that
- 4 recording.
- 5 Q. Did you ever suffer a change of tour as a result
- 6 of failing to make a certain number of arrests?
- 7 A. With regards to the -- to the losing proposition,
- 8 that would be a -- that was a one time -- it would be for
- 9 one day.
- 10 Q. Have you ever observed another officer suffer a
- 11 change of tour as a result of failing to make a certain
- 12 number of arrests?
- 13 A. To the best of my memory, it was one -- it was
- 14 understood that that was one of the things, your tour would
- 15 be changed, eventually. I don't recall any specific
- 16 officer, at any specific time. But it was understood that
- 17 that's -- that unless the officer requested a tour change,
- 18 it was regarding activity.
- 19 Q. Were you ever denied vacation days as a result of
- 20 failing to make a certain number of arrests?
- 21 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 22 O. Have you ever personally observed any other
- 23 police officers who were denied vacation days from failing
- 24 to make a certain number of arrests?
- 25 A. To the best of my memory, I don't believe anyone

- 1 has ever been denied their vacation picks, no.
- Q. While you were assigned to the 81st Precinct,
- 3 were you aware of officers being required to make a certain
- 4 number of stop, question and frisks, or UF-250 forms?
- 5 A. What was that time frame again? The same
- 6 question, you don't have to rephrase it.
- 7 Q. While you were assigned to the 81st Precinct,
- 8 okay, yes?
- 9 A. Please repeat the question.
- 10 Q. While you were assigned to the 81st Precinct,
- 11 were you aware of other officers being required to make a
- certain number of stop, question and frisks, or UF-250's?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How many stops were officers required to conduct?
- 15 A. Again, it would depend on the officer, what unit
- 16 he is assigned with. Off the top of my head, I don't
- 17 recall any specific number, but the recordings -- the
- 18 conversations, the roll calls, that did address the number
- 19 of 250's. I believe I have recordings that state times and
- 20 dates and people involved.
- 21 Q. How many stops was a patrol officer required to
- 22 conduct in the 81st Precinct?
- 23 A. I don't recall ever being given a specific number
- 24 on 250's, other than the -- if you are assigned overtime,
- 25 it was two and two, two summonses, two 250's. I don't

- 1 question.
- 2 Q. How many times did you request overtime but were
- 3 denied?
- 4 A. I don't recall ever requesting overtime.
- 5 Q. Did you observe any other officers lose overtime
- for failing to issue a certain number of 250's?
- 7 A. I don't recall any specific officer or any
- 8 specific time. But it was general knowledge, if you didn't
- 9 pay the rent, you did not get what you asked for.
- 10 O. Have you ever suffered a change of tour, as a
- 11 result of failing to issue a certain number of UF250's?
- 12 A. If you include the losing proposition collars, I
- would say yes.
- 14 Q. How many times was your tour changed because you
- failed to issue a certain number of 250's?
- 16 A. I don't recall how many times.
- 17 O. What was the certain number of 250's you were
- 18 required to issue, when you had your tour changed for
- 19 failing to reach that number?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 21 A. What was that again?
- 22 O. So you said that you did suffer a change of tour
- 23 for failing to issue a certain number of 250's; that is
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. That I stated what?